Variation of Relative Temperature at the Surface and at the Center of a Plate

$$
\left(\mathrm{Sk}=0.5 ; \mathrm{Bi}=1.0 ; \quad \theta_{0}=0.2\right)
$$

| Fo | $\mathrm{Bi}_{\mathrm{l}}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{Fo})$ | $\mathrm{Bi}_{2}^{*}(\mathrm{Fo})$ | $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{2}(1, \mathrm{Fo})$ | $\Theta(1, \mathrm{FO})$ <br> from [1] | $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{2}(0, \mathrm{Fo})$ | $\Theta(0, \mathrm{FO})$ <br> from [1] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1.624 | 0.2 | 1.624 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 0.1 | 1.624 | 0.512 | 1.954 | 0.552 | 0.553 | 0.201 | 0.209 |
| 0.2 | 1.624 | 0.586 | 2.065 | 0.636 | 0.644 | 0.255 | 0.262 |
| 0.3 | 1.624 | 0.633 | 2.144 | 0.687 | 0.699 | 0.328 | 0.336 |
| 0.4 | 1.624 | 0.671 | 2.212 | 0.728 | 0.742 | 0.401 | 0.409 |
| 0.5 | 1.624 | 0.704 | 2.274 | 0.763 | 0.778 | 0.467 | 0.478 |
| 0.6 | 1.624 | 0.733 | 2.331 | 0.792 | 0.808 | 0.528 | 0.540 |
| 0.8 | 1.624 | 0.782 | 2.436 | 0.842 | 0.856 | 0.632 | 0.646 |
| 1.0 | 1.624 | 0.822 | 2.527 | 0.880 | 0.893 | 0.714 | 0.729 |
| 1.2 | 1.624 | 0.855 | 2.606 | 0.909 | 0.919 | 0.779 | 0.793 |
| 1.6 | 1.624 | 0.904 | 2.729 | 0.948 | 0.955 | 0.870 | 0.881 |
| 2.0 | 1.624 | 0.936 | 2.816 | 0.971 | 0.975 | 0.924 | 0.932 |

it is more acceptable for the case of relatively large Sk and Bi that the inverse phenomenon will occur. This is due to the fact that then

$$
\mathrm{Bi}^{*}(\mathrm{Fo}) \leqslant \mathrm{Bi}_{2}^{*}(\mathrm{Fo}) \leqslant \mathrm{Bi}_{1}^{*} .
$$

The method described may be used for bodies of different geometrical configuration (cylinders, spheres, prisms, etc.), and also for other nonlinear boundary conditions.

## NOTATION

$\Theta(X, F 0)=T(X, F o) / T_{m}$ is the relative temperature; $T_{m}$ is the temperature of the medium; $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ is the initial temperature; $\delta$ is the plate half width; $\alpha$ is the heat transfer coefficient; $\sigma_{V}$ is the view factor for
radiative heat transfer; $a$ is diffusivity; $\tau$ is time; $\Theta_{0}=T_{0} / T_{m}$; Fo $=$ $=a \tau / \delta^{2} ; \mathrm{Bi}=\alpha \delta / \lambda ; \mathrm{Sk}=\sigma_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{T}^{3} \mathrm{~m} \delta / \lambda$.
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In the solution of this problem it is usually assumed that heat conduction in the direction of flow is negligibly small in comparison with convective heat transfer. When this assumption is made and when thermophysical characteristics are assumed to be constant and the velocity profile across the tube to be parabolic (which corresponds to steady rectilinear symmetric isothermic laminar flow), the first boundaryvalue problem can be formulated as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} t}{\partial R^{2}}+\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial t}{\partial R} & =\left(1-R^{2}\right) \frac{\partial t}{\partial Z} \\
0 \leqslant R \leqslant 1, \quad 0 & \leqslant Z<+\infty  \tag{1}\\
t(\dot{0}, Z) & <+\infty  \tag{2}\\
t(1, Z) & =f(Z)  \tag{3}\\
t(R, 0) & =\varphi(R) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

To solve this problem we first solve the corresponding homogeneous problem, viz., Eq. (1) on condition that on the surface of the tube

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(1, Z)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will seek special solutions of this auxiliary problem in the form of products $M(R) \exp \left(-\mu^{2} Z\right)$ on condition that $M(R)$ is the solution of the following SturmmLiouville problem [1]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d^{2} M}{d R^{2}}+\frac{1}{R} \frac{d M}{d R}+\mu^{2}\left(1-R^{2}\right) M=0, \\
M(0)<+\infty, \quad M(1)=0 . \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Direct substitution shows that the solution of problem (6) will be a function

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(\mu R^{2}\right)=F\left(a, 1, \mu R^{2}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{\mu}{2} R^{2}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{F}\left(a, 1, \mu \mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$ is a degenerate hypergeometric function, and $a=$ $=(2-\mu) / 4$.

Expressing the exponential and hypergeometric function in the form of power series in $\mathrm{R}^{2}[2-4]$ and multiplying these series, which is possible in view of their absolute convergence, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
T\left(\mu R^{2}\right)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\mu}{2}\right)^{k} \times \\
\times R^{2 k} \sum_{s=0}^{k}(-1)^{s+1} \frac{2^{s} \Gamma(a+s)}{\Gamma(a)(s l)^{2}(k-s)!} . \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

To obtain nontrivial solutions we must find the eigenvalues of $\mu$ from the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\frac{2-\mu}{4}, 1, \mu\right)=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be solved graphically.
The corresponding eigenfunctions in the interval $[0,1] T\left(\mu \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ will be orthogonal with a weight $R\left(1-R^{2}\right)[5]$, i. e., the following equality will be valid:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{1} R\left(1-R^{2}\right) T\left(\mu_{n} R^{2}\right) T\left(\mu_{m} R^{2}\right) d R= \\
= & \left\{\begin{array}{l}
n \neq m \\
{\left[\frac{d F\left(a_{n}, 1, \mu_{n}\right)}{d R} \exp \left(-\frac{\mu_{n}}{2}\right)\right]^{2},} \\
n=m
\end{array}\right. \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Following Grinberg's method [6], we will seek the solution of problem (1) with boundary conditions (2), (3), (4) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{t_{i}(Z)}{\left[\frac{d F\left(a_{i}, 1, \mu_{i}\right)}{d R} \exp \left(-\frac{\mu_{i}}{2}\right)\right]^{2}} T\left(\mu_{i} R^{2}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
t_{i}(Z)=\int_{0}^{1} t(R, Z) R\left(1-R^{2}\right) T\left(\mu_{i} R^{2}\right) d R
$$

Substituting (11) in (1) and using the boundary conditions we obtain the following ordinary linear differential equation of the first order to determine $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}(Z)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d t_{i}}{d Z}+\mu_{i}^{2} t_{l}(Z)+k_{i} f(Z)=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
k_{i}=2 \mu_{i} \frac{d F\left(a_{i}, 1, \mu_{i}\right)}{d R} \exp \left(-\frac{\mu_{i}}{2}\right)
$$

the solution of which will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i}=\exp \left(-\mu_{i}^{2} Z\right)\left[C_{i}-\dot{k}_{i} \int f(Z) \exp \left(\mu_{i}^{2} Z\right) d Z\right] \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $C_{i}$ is determined from the boundary condition (4) by multiplying this condition by the eigenfunction and integrating over the interval $[0,1]$. For this we need to know the forms of func-
tions $f(\mathrm{Z})$ and $\varphi(\mathrm{R})$ and for the latter, as a rule, we need to assume that it can be expanded as a power series. In particular, when $\varphi(\mathrm{R}) \equiv$ $\equiv 0, f(Z) \equiv f_{0}=$ const

$$
C_{i}=k_{i} \frac{f_{0}}{\mu_{i}^{2}}
$$

We denote the found values of $C_{i}$ by $C_{i}^{0}$, and then the solution of our problem will take the form (11), where $t_{i}$ is given by formula (13) with $C_{i}=C_{i}^{0}$.

The problem is solved in exactly the same way with a boundary condition of the second kind, i, e. , if condition (3) of our problem is replaced by the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial t(1, Z)}{\partial R}=f(Z) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case the eigenvalues will be given by the following system:

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 a F(a+1,2, \mu)=F(a, 1, \mu) \\
a=(2-\mu) / 4 \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

## NOTATION

$R \equiv r / r_{0}$ is the dimensionless variable radius; $Z \equiv z / r_{0} \mathrm{Pe}$ is the reduced tube length; $\mathrm{Pe}=2 \mathrm{Wavr}_{0} / \mathrm{a}$ is the Peclet number; $\mathrm{r}_{0}$ is the tube radius; $r$ and $z$ are cylindrical coordinates; $w_{a v}$ is the average flow velocity; $\Gamma(a)$ is the gamma function; $t$ is the temperature of the liquid.
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In the thermal potentiometer method of measurement of thermal conductivity of metals (Fig. 1) [1], the total heater power may be represented in the form

$$
W=W_{1}+W_{2}
$$

where $W_{1}$ is the power passing through the cross section of the specimen $T_{1} ;$ and $W_{2}$ is the power scattered by radiation from the specimen surface $S_{1}$, located below the section $T_{1}$.

Similarly

$$
W_{1}=W_{3}+W_{4}
$$

where $W_{4}$ is the power passing through the specimen cross section $T_{2}$; and $W_{3}$ is the power scattered by radiation from the specimen surface located between sections $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$.

For $\mathrm{T}_{1}-\mathrm{T}_{0} \approx 1^{\circ}, \mathrm{W}_{3}$ is not less than $1 \%$ of W , right up to 100 $150^{\circ} \mathrm{K}, W_{4}$ is a quantity of the second order of smallness in compari-

